

REMARKS

In the office action dated April 5, 2004, the examiner objected to the specification and issued a restriction requirement on the basis of the application containing "claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: (A) Figures 1, 2A, 2 B, (B) Figures 3A, 3B, (C) Figures 4A, 4B, (D) Figures 5A, 5B, (E) Figures 6A, 6B, (F) Figure 7 and (G) Figure 8.

Objections to the Specification

Applicant has amended paragraph [0001] to address the examiner's objection thereto. Applicant submits that no new matter has been added.

Restriction Requirement

Applicant elects species (C) Figures 4A, 4B and submits that claims 1-2, 4, 8-13, and 16 read on species (C). In view of the foregoing election, Applicant withdraws claims 3, 5, 6-7, and 14-15 from consideration at this time. Should generic claims 1 and 8 be found allowable, Applicant will request claims 3, 5, 6-7, and 14-15 reconsidered.


CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully asserts the application is in condition for allowance. Prompt and favorable action on the merits of the claims is earnestly solicited. Should

the Examiner have any questions or comments, the undersigned can be reached at
(949) 567-6700.

Respectfully submitted,
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP

Dated: May 18, 2004

By: 
Kenneth S. Roberts, Reg. No. 38,283

Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
4 Park Plaza, Suite 1600
Irvine, CA 92614-2558
Tel. 949-567-6700
Fax: 949-567-6710